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## Executive Summary

In August 2006 Council engaged Tonkin Consulting to prepare a Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) plan for the Grange area bounded by Trimmer Parade, Tapleys Hill Road, Grange Road and the foreshore. The recommendations have been developed through community consultation and the involvement of an informal residents group. This final report is submitted for to guide future traffic management within the Grange LATM precinct, set within the broader Traffic Management Strategy for Charles Sturt.

## BASIS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The practical road hierarchy shown below be adopted as the basis for developing short term traffic management options for the Study Area.
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## HIGH PRIORITY

2. Council approach DTEI requesting the development of an overall corridor management plan for Military Road, between Terminus Street and Grange Road. As part of this investigation, plans be developed for the intersections of Jetty Street, Beach Street and Military Road.
3. Council consider the installation of a No Stopping zone along the western side of Fort Street between Trimmer Parade and the river. Refer also to Recommendation 8).
4. Council initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to upgrading the intersection of Grange Road and Beach Street, to complement the proposed median strip concept plan for Beach Street.
5. Council discuss the intersection of Trimmer Parade and Sportsman Drive with DTEI.

## MODERATE PRIORITY

6. Council mark parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.
7. Council consider the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between railway line and Fort Street, through discussion with the affected residents. Consideration could be given to a part time parking restriction to cover "peak times".
8. A traffic and parking plan be developed for Fort Street in consultation with stakeholders to address ongoing safety concerns, taking into account other recommendations within this report.
9. Council approach DTEI requesting the development of a corridor management plan for Grange Road between Frederick Road and Seaview Road.
10. Community concerns over Frederick Road be referred to DTEI for investigation.
11. Council install additional line marking and signage at the junction of Adare Court and Clayton Drive to improve definition of the junction give way requirement.

Executive Summary

## LOW PRIORITY

12. In the longer term, and subject to improved capacity and safety along Military Road, consideration be given to adopting an alternative road hierarchy for the area.
13. Further consideration be given to specific consultation concerning the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit for the Grange LATM.
14. Council continue to monitor traffic conditions in Seaview Road as part of other changes in the area (associated with the Grange Jetty Precinct).
15. Council continue to monitor traffic volumes and speeds in Sylvan Way.
16. That traffic volumes in Derby Street coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium be monitored to quantify the volume of traffic using the road.
17. Traffic conditions in Willcocks Avenue be monitored to identify any changes as early as possible.

## 1. Introduction

In August 2006 Council engaged Tonkin Consulting to prepare a Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) plan for the Grange area bounded by Trimmer Parade, Tapleys Hill Road, Grange Road and the foreshore, as shown in the following photograph.


The area is identified as Study Area 21 in Council's overarching Traffic Management Strategy. The purpose of the review was to identify local traffic and road safety concerns within the area and develop alternative solutions through consultation with the community.

This report presents the findings and recommendations for the Grange area based on the Draft Report for Consultation (endorsed by Council in May 2007), together with responses from the subsequent community feedback process.

This final report is submitted for Councils' approval to guide future traffic management within the Grange LATM precinct.

Method

## 2. Method

A number of steps have been followed in order to qualify and quantify factors affecting the road networks within the study area, including public consultation, site reviews and assessment of available traffic and crash data.

### 2.1 Call for Public Submissions

Leaflets were distributed to every letterbox in the area by hand in August 2006. The leaflets invited submissions by residents in relation to traffic management and road safety issues in the respective local areas. They were drafted and formatted in accordance with Council's requirements for public consultation.

A copy of the community circular is included in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Review of Traffic Data

Traffic classifier surveys were undertaken at a number of locations throughout the area, based on issues identified by a review of resident responses, and through knowledge of the traffic environment in certain streets.

This data has been summarised in Appendix B.

### 2.3 Collision Data

Details of the road collision incidents reported to the SA Police during the period 2000 - 2005 , were obtained from DTEI. The data was analysed to an extent to validate the site-specific concerns of residents. Sites were checked for 'black-spots' or areas with a consistently high number of crash occurrences.

Collision data has been presented in Appendix C.

Method

### 2.4 Review of Background Documents

### 2.4.1 Traffic Management Strategy

The Traffic Management Strategy prepared by QED provides weighted criteria for the prioritisation of the precincts for treatment, within the City of Charles Sturt.

The QED strategy provides a Classical Road Hierarchy and various intervention thresholds, based on traffic speeds, volumes, crash statistics, activity generators and others.

The directions set by the strategy have been taken into account in the preparation of our recommendations.

### 2.4.2 Grange Jetty Precinct

Council has previously prepared a report covering the Grange Jetty Precinct that establishes an Urban Design Framework for the area bounded by :

- Jetty Street between Seaview Road and Military Road
- Military Road north of Jetty Street
- The Grange Hotel car park and foreshore precinct, between Seaview Road and the beach.

The report outlines various traffic management initiative that have a relationship to the preparation of the Grange LATM, including the following suggestions:

- The installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Jetty Street and Military Road
- Converting Jetty Street (west of the hotel bottle shop and car park) into a one-way street (westbound)
- Upgrading of Military Road streetscape.


### 2.5 Austroads

The revised Austroads Part 10, Local Area Traffic Management, provides important theory and philosophy behind a range of traffic management devices, and the implications of their use. For example the use of a certain treatment in one street can lead to a displacement of traffic to another adjacent untreated street. Measures as simple as painted parking lanes can narrow the effective carriageway width of a street and hence slow traffic. Part 10 is useful as an overall guide to the effectiveness of traffic devices, and when and when not to use them.

Method

### 2.6 Site Investigations

All roads in the area were reviewed and driven by vehicle. Some road widths have been checked with a pedometer to confirm that certain devices can be implemented should the consultation process lead Council to that stage.

The locations of existing traffic management devices have been documented, with a view to forming treatment recommendations which compliment existing devices such as roundabouts, indented parking and plateaux.

The site inspections, undertaken from the perspective of a motorist, are important in forming an overall 'feel' for each site, and where speeding is likely to occur.

The principles applicable to Network Road Safety Auditing were used to some extent at the sites, for example:

- Noting friction between parked vehicles / travelling vehicles in particular streets
- Noting the environments which are conducive to high speeds, for example, long straight streets with no interruptions to the forward sight distance
- The provisions for vulnerable road users such as cyclists and pedestrians
- The traffic mix
- A check on land use conflicts, for example abutting residential and industrial zones.


### 2.7 Residents Committee

As part of the Community Circular (refer Appendix A), a Registration of Interest was also sought for residents to represent the local community in the area. The purpose of the Residents' Committee was to assist the consultant team in the process of identifying key issues, possible treatments and possible priorities.

The committee met on three occasions and their input was valuable in verifying the main traffic issues as well as broadly discussing possible solutions.

### 2.8 Community Feedback

The Draft Plan was subsequently released for community feedback. All residents that responded to the initial community consultation phase (issues identification) received a copy of the draft recommendations and a pro forma response sheet. A public notice was also placed in the Weekly Times and Portside Messengers notifying the broader community of the Draft Plan, available at Council's office and on the Council Web site. A copy of the community questionnaire is also included in Appendix A.

## 3. Findings

### 3.1 Summary or Concerns

Approximately 2,500 community circulars were distributed throughout the Grange area in August 2006. 260 comments were received, representing a response rate of $10.4 \%$. This response rate is considered reasonably good (in comparison to LATM's undertaken in other areas), and provides a good basis of information about the predominant concerns within the Grange. A complete summary of the responses received is included in Appendix D.

There were numerous separate concerns over speeds in particular streets, or through particular intersections. Driver behaviour issues such as "hoon" driving were also nominated by numerous respondents as a significant concern. As it is simply not practical for Council to treat every nominated location, nor is it always appropriate to address behavioural issues with physical traffic engineering solutions, the Residents' Committee initially established the following list of priority issues for further investigation.

### 3.1.1 Road Sections

- Beach Street - speeds at eastern end
- Charles Sturt Avenue (with particular reference to the rail crossing)
- Fort Street - traffic volumes, speeds and narrow width
- Grange Road - speeds and road environment
- Jetty Street - speeds at eastern end
- Military Road - traffic volumes, speed and equity relative to Seaview Road
- Willcocks Avenue - traffic volumes


### 3.1.2 Intersections

- Beach Street / Grange Road / Mitton Ave
- Grange Road / Frederick Road (NB - under DTEI control)
- Military Road / Beach Street
- Military Road / Jetty Street
- Military Road / Grange Road
- Trimmer Parade / Frederick Road (NB - under DTEI control)
- Trimmer Parade / Sportsman Drive (outside of study area)

Findings

### 3.2 Speed and Volume Data

Traffic data has been collected at 23 sites throughout Grange. The data was useful in verifying the key issues identified by the community and resident's committee, and to assess the extent of any reported problems. A summary of the data is presented in Appendix B.

### 3.3 Collision Data

Maps showing the location, type and severity of crashes in the precinct are included in Appendix C. The data presented is based on DTEI geo-coded crash information for the period 2000-2005.

Not surprisingly, the vast majority of crashes have occurred along the arterial roads. Excluding crashes at intersection between arterial roads, locations with more than 3 reported collisions are:

Trimmer Parade / Sportsman Drive 32
Trimmer Parade / Fort Street 5
Frederick Road / Nash Street 6
Frederick Road / Sunset Crescent 3
Frederick Road / Jetty Street 7
Frederick Road / Meakin Terrace 7
Grange Road / Beach Street 39
Grange Road / Military Road 36
Grange Road / Seaview Road 6
Military Road / Beach Street 12
Military Road / Jetty Street 7
Military Road / Terminus Street 13
Military Road / Fort Street 5
Jetty Street / Seaview Road 3
Jetty Street / Charles Sturt Avenue 6
Charles Sturt Avenue / Terminus Street 11
Charles Sturt Avenue / Fort Street 3
Beach Street / Westmoreland Road 4
High Street / Terminus Street 3

Findings

The following tables summarise the 172 crashes that have occurred within the Grange area - excluding crashes that occurred on Trimmer Parade, Tapleys Hill Road, Frederick Road or Grange Road, but including crashes along Military Road.

| Severity | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 |
| Casualty | 34 |
| Property Damage Only | 138 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |


| Accident Type | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Other | 1 |
| Roll Over | 1 |
| Head On | 2 |
| Hit Pedestrian | 2 |
| Right Turn | 8 |
| Side Swipe | 16 |
| Rear End | 22 |
| Hit Parked Vehicle | 29 |
| Right Angle | 43 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 48 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |


| Accident Type | Severity | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Head On | Injury | 1 |
| Head On | PDO | 1 |
| Hit Fixed Object | PDO | 40 |
| Hit Fixed Object | Injury | 8 |
| Hit Parked Vehicle | Injury | 5 |
| Hit Parked Vehicle | PDO | 24 |
| Hit Pedestrian | Injury | 2 |
| Other | Injury | 1 |
| Rear End | Injury | 4 |
| Rear End | PDO | 18 |
| Right Angle | Injury | 4 |
| Right Angle | PDO | 39 |
| Right Turn | Injury | 4 |
| Right Turn | PDO | 4 |
| Roll Over | Injury | 1 |
| Side Swipe | PDO | 12 |
| Side Swipe | Injury | 4 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |

Findings

The data and pattern of crashes is not dissimilar to that which could be found in other local street networks. The predominance of right angle crashes reflects the number of cross road intersections throughout the area.

The number of collisions that occur throughout the week is reasonably consistent although there is a peak occurrence of collisions on Saturdays. This may reflect increased traffic flows through the area associated with the beach activities and events at AAMI Stadium. Most collisions occurred during daylight hours with the peak number of crashes occurring between mid afternoon through to the early evening period.

| DAY | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Wednesday | 14 |
| Thursday | 18 |
| Monday | 20 |
| Tuesday | 22 |
| Sunday | 25 |
| Friday | 30 |
| Saturday | 43 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |


| Accident <br> HOUR | Count |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |
| 6 | 2 |
| 8 | 9 |
| 9 | 4 |
| 10 | 4 |
| 11 | 9 |
| 12 | 10 |
| 13 | 7 |
| 14 | 11 |
| 15 | 13 |
| 16 | 11 |
| 17 | 11 |
| 18 | 11 |
| 19 | 13 |
| 20 | 10 |
| 21 | 9 |
| 22 | 6 |
| 23 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 172 |
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## 4. Discussion and Recommendations

### 4.1 Road Hierarchy

### 4.1.1 Existing Road Hierarchy

Council's overarching Traffic Management Strategy identifies the following ideal road hierarchy for the Grange area :

## Arterial Roads

- Trimmer Parade
- Grange Road
- Frederick Road
- Tapleys Hill Road
- Military Road


## Collector Roads

- Jetty Street
- Beach Street
- Esplanade

The Traffic Management Strategy identifies all other roads within the area (including Seaview Road, Fort Street and Charles Sturt Avenue) as local streets.

However, in a practical sense, the existing road network is operating somewhat differently than this model. Charles Sturt Avenue and Fort Street are serving a collector function, while Seaview Road provides a supplementary role for north-south traffic.

The following diagram reflects the existing practical road hierarchy within the area.
The diagram highlights that there are 3-4 north-south roads that are providing a traffic distribution function to greater of lesser degrees. These roads include Military Road, Seaview Road, Charles Sturt Avenue and Fort Street. This reflects the lack of an obvious arterial route through the area. While Military Road is identified as the arterial road this largely reflects ownership by DTEI, rather than the standard of the road. The limited capacity of Military Road results in drivers finding alternative routes, particularly under peak loads such as major events at AAMI stadium.

Discussion and Draft Recommendations


Figure 1 - Existing Practical Road Hierarchy

Charles Sturt Avenue and Fort Street are increasingly providing an alternative collector route through the northern end of the precinct. Without intervention strategies the role of these roads will increase as the aged care precinct continues to be developed, placing additional traffic volumes in the northern end of Fort Street and the junction with Trimmer Parade.

Whether Council and the community accept the existing road hierarchy will largely guide the development of traffic control plans for the area.

In the absence of a clear and continuous arterial route through this area it is inevitable that traffic pressure will force more vehicles into the local street network, particularly under peak loads associated with the AAMI stadium.

In the short term, Council should focus on managing traffic volumes and traffic patterns. Road closures or other restrictive traffic control devices within the local street network will simply result in additional traffic loads on Military Road. This is considered inappropriate until such time as the route is upgraded to an appropriate standard.

The Residents' Committee was adverse to the introduction of restrictive traffic controls within the local area that would displace traffic into Military Road, in its current form and condition.

## Recommendation

The practical road hierarchy shown in Figure 1 be accepted as the basis for developing short term traffic management options for the Grange and Seaton (West) Study Area.

### 4.1.2 Future Road Hierarchy

It is important for Council to initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to the function and performance of Military Road, before considering longer term traffic management. Resolution of this matter is fundamental to addressing broader issues of "through traffic" using the local street network.

On the assumption that Military Road could be adequately upgraded at some time in the future, there is scope to subsequently rationalise the function of the location street network. For example, it may be possible to reduce the status of Charles Sturt Avenue and Fort Street from that of a collector route to a local street. This could be practically achieved through road closures or very restrictive traffic controls (e.g. humps that are often unpopular with the community).

The following diagrams show alternative road hierarchy that could be introduced through the closure of either Fort Street on the river, or Charles Sturt Avenue on the railway crossing.


Figure 2 - Alternative Road
Hierarchy - Closure of Charles
Sturt Avenue


Figure 3 - Alternative Road Hierarchy - Closure of Fort Street

Discussion and Draft Recommendations

These alternative road hierarchies are presented as possible options once the function of Military Road is addressed. It is important to reiterate that Council should not consider substantial changes to either Charles Sturt Avenue or Fort Street until the capacity and safety of Military Road is improved.

The need for road closures or other very restrictive traffic controls should be reconsidered after Military Road and other initiatives are implemented. If the arterial route is better defined, and other recommendations are successful in discouraging through traffic from the local streets, it may transpire that road closures become unnecessary.

## Recommendation

In the longer term and subject to improved capacity and safety along Military Road, and the effect of other recommendations contained in this report, consideration be given to adopting an alternative road hierarchy.
fnginffrinés

### 4.2 Road Sections - Priority Locations

### 4.2.1 Military Road

The following comments were received from the community circular. The table also shows the number of people that made the same comment.

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Traffic volumes and noise between Terminus Street and Grange Road <br> - relationship to Seaview Road (equity) | 9 |
| Funnelling effect from 2 into 1 lane and 60 to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1 |
| Crossing road can be difficult due to footy traffic | 2 |
| Bumper to bumper traffic when football - too dangerous to ride bike | 1 |
| Eye sore between Terminus Street and Beach Street | 1 |
| Difficult to cross road | 3 |
| Speeds | 9 |
| Rear end collisions as units use road as second car park | 1 |
| Hazardous parking and compounds narrowing and traffic flows | 2 |
| Unsafe for cyclists | 2 |
| Speed through roundabouts | 1 |

The relative function and share of traffic volumes between Seaview Road and Military Road was identified as an (ongoing) issue of concern to respondents to the community survey. Historically, it is understood that Seaview Road and Military Road virtually shared the north-south arterial road function between Terminus Street and Grange Road. However, in recent years Council has changed the road environment of Seaview Road on the basis of a local street, including kerb realignment and the introduction of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit.

Military Road certainly has the highest traffic volumes in this area (12,800-14,000 vehicles per day) in comparison to Seaview Road (1700-2600 vpd), Charles Sturt Avenue (1600-2400 vpd) and Fort Street (3000-3600 vpd).

The $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit was introduced on Seaview Road north of Grange Road in August 1998, and subsequently extended north of Terminus Street in May 2001.

Historic traffic data provided by DTEl shows that traffic volumes on Military Road north of Grange Road increased from 8,000 vpd (September 1996) to 13,600 vpd (2001). During the same period, traffic volumes in Military Road near Trimmer Parade remained reasonable constant. This data strongly suggests the $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit and other changes along Seaview Road have significantly reduced volumes in this road, and resulted in a proportional increase in volumes in Military Road.

While some respondents to the community survey sought the removal of the $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit from Seaview Road, in order to provide "equity" with Military Road, this would potentially increase traffic volumes in Seaview Road. This is considered fundamentally at odds with the recent works undertaken along Seaview Road by Council that were undertaken on the basis of reinforcing the local street function of the road.

Military Road is the responsibility of the Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI), and as such, Council has no direct control over the standard of the road. Notwithstanding, the upgrading of this road between Terminus Street and Grange Road is fundamental to the overall success of the plan.

The Grange Jetty Precinct report also notes the need to upgrade and improve the streetscape of Military Road.

Consideration should be given to :

- Better defining the travel lanes, parking provisions and space for cyclists.
- The provision of footpaths on both sides of the road
- Increased safety at intersections (particularly Jetty Street)
- Improved definition of the arterial route
- Management of speeds along the road, recognising the adjacent residential land uses and pedestrian / cycling activity through the area


## Recommendation <br> That Council approach DTEI requesting the development of an overall corridor management plan for Military Road between Terminus Street and Grange Road.

HIGH PRIORITY

### 4.2.2 Charles Sturt Ave

The following comments were received from the community circular. The table also shows the number of people that made the same comment.

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Traffic volumes and speeds (usually with reference to Footy traffic) | 10 |
| Speeding over railway crossing | 3 |
| Narrow width / parking / wide verges could have parking | 9 |
| Don't restrict traffic on Charles Sturt Ave that might increase volumes in Military Road | 1 |
| Excessive speeds through childrens crossing | 1 |
| Illegal parking near crossing and a/h adjacent \#4 Community centre | 1 |
| Lack of parking near Kindergarten | 1 |

fnginffrina

The Resident's Committee acknowledged that Charles Sturt Avenue is currently acting as a collector route as it provides a supplementary access into the residential precinct. Charles Sturt Avenue - Fort Street was seen as the logical route to West Lakes and areas east along Trimmer Parade, while Military Road is the logical route to the Port Adelaide region.

Accordingly, the focus of treatments should be the management of speeds rather than the reduction of traffic (in the short term). In the longer term, consideration should be given to reducing volumes on Charles Sturt Avenue commensurate with its function as a local street.

Traffic volumes generally increase along the northern sections of the road as summarised below:

| - Between Fort Street and Railway Crossing | 2400 vpd |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Between Railway Crossing and Jetty Street | 2250 vpd |
| - Between Jetty Street and Beach Street | 2100 vpd |
| - Between Beach Street and Grange Road | 1600 vpd |

Speeds also vary along the road. Average speeds are between $44-49 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ while $15 \%$ of traffic exceeds $53-55 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Approximately $28-46 \%$ of vehicles exceed the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit.

The installation of road humps or chicanes was not supported by the Residents' Committee. The varying widths of Charles Sturt Avenue also present some difficulty in developing a uniform treatment for the road.

- Between Grange Road and Beach Street the road is 12.7 metres wide which allows for traffic to pass parked cars
- Between Beach Street and Jetty Street the road is only 8.0 metres wide which creates some friction between traffic and parked vehicles
- Between Jetty Street and the railway the road is 11.4 metres wide, which allows for traffic to pass parked cars
- Between the railway line and Fort Street, Charles Sturt Avenue is only 8.0 metres wide, which creates some friction between traffic and parked vehicles

Various residents expressed concern over the friction between parked cars and through traffic along the narrow sections of the road.

Three alternatives can be considered to address this issue :

- Formalise indented parking bays along these narrow sections of road utilising the wide verge area
- Simply legally permit parking on the grassed road verges
- Prohibit parking on one side of the road.

The construction of indented parking bays is considered unwarranted at this stage, while there remains some uncertainty with the longer term function of the road. If Charles Sturt Avenue is closed at some time in the future, traffic volumes will reduce and the extent of traffic-parking conflicts will obviously diminish.

Various residents suggested parking be permitted on the road verges through the narrow sections of Charles Sturt Avenue. This would provide a relatively easy solution to existing concerns, although would result in degradation of the verge areas.

The prohibition of parking from one side of the road should enable opposing vehicles to pass with less friction. However, the loss of parking from one side of the road may not be supported by affected residents.

It is important to note that reducing the parking friction along the narrowed sections of Charles Sturt Avenue (through either parking restrictions or allowance of verge parking) may result in speeds increasing through these sections.

## Recommendations

In the short term, consideration be given to the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between the railway line and Fort Street.

In the short term consideration be given to mark parking lanes in the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue, between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.

MODERATE PRIORITY
In the longer term, together with any improvements made along Military Road, consideration could be given to a full closure of Charles Sturt Avenue over the railway crossing. This would be undertaken to establish a road hierarchy shown in Figure 2 - Section 4.1.

LOW PRIORITY

Discussion and Draft Recommendations

### 4.2.3 Fort Street

Fort Street received the most number of complaints from the community circular, with most responses referring to the narrow width of the road, high traffic volumes, and difficulty passing oncoming traffic and particularly buses.

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Parking on both sides limits road width | 32 |
| Parking on both sides limits property access (entry and exit) | 3 |
| Need for landscaping between Creek and Trimmer Parade | 1 |
| Traffic volumes | 5 |
| Axle weight of buses to heavy for road | 1 |
| Curve and bus stops compound limited width | 7 |
| Pedestrian crossing needed | 1 |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ too fast - should be 40 km/h (speed concern) | 7 |
| Mail box - not cut away for parking - change of location | 3 |
| Too narrow / hazardous for cyclists | 1 |
| Traffic at night enter/leave Grange Oval | 1 |
| Speeds in laneway | 1 |

The road is a collector as it provides access to the broader area to the east via Sylvan Way and south via Charles Sturt Avenue. Associated concerns were also made in relation to the intersections with Trimmer Parade and Sylvan Way.

While longer term consideration might be given to reducing volumes along the road through reducing the function of Charles Sturt Avenue, the northern end of Fort Street will continue to serve as a collector route to the aged care precinct, which continues to develop along Sylvan Way.

The implementation of speed controls is difficult as the road is a bus route, which limits the use of traditional traffic control devices.

Notwithstanding, consideration could be given to the use of speed cushions at regular intervals along the road. Speed cushions are similar to road humps but have been designed for bus routes. The following photograph refer (source : Saferoads website).

## Recommendation <br> That a No Stopping zone along the western side of Fort Street between Trimmer Parade and the river be considered.

HIGH PRIORITY

That subject to DTEI approval, consideration could be given to the installation of speed cushions along Fort Street as a way of discouraging some unnecessary through traffic and reducing speeds.

MODERATE PRIORITY


### 4.2.4 Beach Street and Jetty Street

The following comments were received in regard to Beach Street and Jetty Street.
Beach Street

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Traffic volumes between Grange Road and Military Road (reference to footy park traffic) | 12 |
| High speeds - particularly between Grange Road and Surrey Street | 20 |
| Need to improve pedestrian safety by Primary School | 1 |
| Parking is a hazard on bend | 1 |
| School bus can't negotiate roundabouts (damage to kerbs) | 1 |
| Parking in wrong direction and on verges | 1 |
| Crossing road can be difficult | 2 |

encinerrimă
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Jetty Street

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Speeds at eastern end | 8 |
| Speeds at jnc with Kentdale St | 1 |
| Poor road lighting (east end) - No 77 | 2 |
| Need for bike lanes | 1 |
| Parking adjacent to bridge restricts traffic flow close to schools | 1 |
| Poor condition between Searange (Kentdale) and Frederick | 2 |

The Resident's Committee accepted that both Beach Street and Jetty Street are collector roads within the overall hierarchy as they are only east-west connections over the creek and connect arterial roads. Both roads are also important for social access (schools, churches, shops, sporting facilities, etc). The form of treatment on Jetty Street and Beach Street needs to be similar, so that problems are not just shifted to the other street. The focus of treatment (for both roads) should therefore be the control of speeds, rather than restrictive measures that also aim to reduce through traffic volumes.

Following the initial round of community consultation, Council commenced separate consultation with residents in Beach Street and Jetty Street to identify the preferred treatment options.

Beach Street consultation concluded with strong support for the installation of a median strip and landscaping between Surrey Street and Grange Road. The preferred option is shown in Appendix E and construction has since commenced.

Consultation with regard to Jetty Street has also concluded with support for a similar median treatment to that proposed for Beach Street. Detailed design and construction will be scheduled subject to Council's budgetary processes.

### 4.2.5 Grange Road

The following comments were received from the community circular. The table also shows the number of people that made the same comment.

| Comment | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Concern over 50 km/h limit at western end (ie too low) | 3 |
| Difficult to cross road at western end (with bikes) | 1 |
| Speeds at west end (disregard of 50) | 4 |
| Speeds between Cudmore and Frederick | 1 |
| Speeds through 'gully' between Military Road and Wright St | 1 |
| Street scaping to change road environment | 1 |
| Insufficient room for buses (cars drive around) | 1 |
| Speed near Tapleys Hill Road | 1 |

Grange Road is an arterial road under the control of DTEI. The western end of the road is very wide and there is a need to improve definition of the road space (parking lanes, traffic lanes, etc) to improve amenity of the area and re-enforce the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit and change in road and land uses. Traffic surveys highlight that $44 \%$ of vehicles are exceeding the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit. The road is approximately 14.0 metres wide between Military Road and Surrey Street, which should allow for the provision of parking lanes as shown in Appendix F.

Recommendation
That Council approach DTEI requesting the development of a corridor management plan for Grange Road between Frederick Road and Seaview Road.

MODERATE PRIORITY

### 4.2.6 Willcocks Avenue

Several residents raised concern over traffic volumes in Willcocks Avenue and apparent "rat-running" through the area.

In comparison to other precincts, the general road layout in this area is relatively closed and not naturally conducive to through traffic. Traffic data was obtained at two locations along Willcocks Avenue :

- Between Clarice Avenue and Corio Avenue
- Between Corio Avenue and Milvera Avenue

In both cases, traffic volumes are less than 1000 vpd. While some level of ratrunning can not be discounted the overall size of the problem is not considered significant.

Willcocks Avenue is relatively long and straight and potentially conducive to some higher speeds. Compared with other roads throughout Grange, actual speeds in Willcocks Ave are not unduly high. While some high speeds probably occur, it is difficult to treat these instances in isolation. Treatment options include the provision or slow points (e.g. road humps / chicanes) at regular intervals along the road. However, this treatment is not considered warranted based on existing speeds and volumes.

## Recommendation <br> Traffic conditions be monitored to identify any changes as early as possible. <br> LOW PRIORITY

### 4.3 Intersections - Priority Locations

### 4.3.1 Beach Street / Grange Road / Mitton Ave

Crash data shows that 39 reported crashes occurred at this intersection between 2000-2005. The intersection layout is considered potentially hazardous on the basis of :

- The wide acute angle between Grange Road and Beach Street encourages high speed left and right turn movements out / into Beach Street
- The four way intersection allows direct cross movements between two collector roads over an arterial road

Any alternations to this intersection must be undertaken through consultation with DTEI (as the responsible authority for Grange Road).

During the Beach Street consultation process, residents supported measures to improve the safety of the intersection, and at the same time, reinforce the look and feel of Beach Street as a local street. Through this consultation, consideration was given to the following concept for the intersection.
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While there was general support for this concept, it was recognised that the concept would reduce accessibility to / from Mitton Avenue. Further consultation would be required with regard to this option.

The installation of traffic signals was not favoured by the Residents' Committee, nor was the installation of a roundabout due to the likely difficulty in design.

## Recommendation

Council initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to upgrading the Beach Street / Grange Road intersection, to complement the proposed median strip concept plan for Beach Street (refer Appendix E).

HIGH PRIORITY

### 4.3.2 Military Road / Jetty Street

Numerous responses to the community survey raised concern over safety at this intersection, particularly for pedestrians crossing Military Road at the intersection. There were 7 reported collisions at the intersection between 2000-2005.

The two most practical solutions would be the installation of a roundabout (similar to several other roundabouts throughout the area) or the installation of traffic signals. The installation of signals would provide a better level of protection for pedestrians.

The Grange Jetty Precinct Study recommends the installation of traffic signals at the intersection.

## Recommendation

Council initiate discussions with DTEI to upgrade this intersection as part of the overall review of Military Road (refer Recommendation 4.2.1)

HIGH PRIORITY

### 4.3.3 Military Road / Beach Street

Conditions at this intersection should be considered as part of the recommended overall review of Military Road (refer Recommendation 4.2.1)

### 4.3.4 Military Road / Grange Road

Conditions at this intersection should be considered as part of the recommended overall review of Military Road (refer Recommendation 4.2.1)

### 4.3.5 Grange Road / Frederick Road

Both roads are under the control of DTEI. Council will refer the community concerns onto the Department for review.

### 4.3.6 Trimmer Parade / Frederick Road

Several responses to the community survey suggested that right turn arrows should be provided at the intersection, and two through lanes for northbound traffic in Frederick Road. Both roads are under the control of DTEI.

## Recommendation

That the residents' concerns be referred onto DTEI for review.
HIGH PRIORITY

### 4.3.7 Trimmer Parade / Sportsman Drive

This intersection is outside of the scope of the current review. Notwithstanding, Council will consider the need for improvements to the intersection through discussion with DTEI (as the authority responsible for Trimmer Parade). Note that any changes to the function of Fort Street may influence the need for alterations to the Sportsman Drive intersection, as it may result in less demand for turning movements at the junction.

## Recommendation

Council discuss these concerns with DTEI for review.
HIGH PRIORITY

### 4.4 Speed Complaints (Various Locations) - 40 km/h Speed Limit

Numerous residents expressed concern over the speed of vehicles in their street. In addition to already roads discussed, the following roads were nominated with reference to a speed concern :

- Clarice Avenue
- Elaine Ave
- Esplanade (vehicles and bicycles)
- Fairway Drive
- High Street
- Kirkcadly Ave
- Lambros Avenue
- Lines Street
- Meakin Terrace
- Napier Street
- Nash Street
- Old Post Office Place
- Parkview
- Rapson Street
- Shandon Parade
- Sturt Street
- Swan Street
- Sylvan Way
- Westmoreland Road

The Resident's Committee acknowledged that it is not practical to treat all of these locations with physical traffic management devices to address the speed concerns. It was also noted that, in most situations, traffic speeds are probably typical of most residential streets, and that the problem is most likely a minority of users travelling at excessive speeds. The behaviour of these drivers can often be difficult to influence.

Notwithstanding, with such a widespread concern over traffic speeds, consideration has been given to the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit.

The use of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limits was first introduced when the General Urban Speed Limit (GUSL) in Adelaide was $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Since that time, the GUSL has been reduced to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, potentially reducing the possible benefits that might be gained from a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit.

Notwithstanding, the key requirements for the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit are as follows :

- The average speed within all relevant streets (over 200m in length) must be less than $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- The precincts must be logical and bounded by arterial roads or natural features. With regard to Grange the following precincts are considered appropriate (as shown below)
- Military Road - Trimmer Parade - Frederick Road - railway line
- Military Road - Railway Line - River - Grange Road
- River - Railway Line - Frederick Road - Grange Road
- Frederick Road - Golf Course - Tapleys Hill Road - Grange Road
- The proposed installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit must be supported by at least $66 \%$ of the community
- Council must commit to an ongoing process of monitoring speeds and community attitudes with regard to the lower speed limits.


Recommendation
Further community consultation be undertaken to determine whether there is sufficient support for the installation of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limits.

Subject to the above, additional speed surveys throughout the area (on relevant streets) to determine whether the average speed would suit the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit. MODERATE PRIORITY

Discussion and Draft Recommendations

### 4.5 Other Locations

In response to the initial community circular, numerous other locations (intersections and road sections) were nominated as potential concerns. A full summary of the responses is included in Appendix D).

In many cases, the Residents Committee did not consider specific treatment warranted as the reported concerns reflected the overall community concern over traffic behaviour throughout Grange (e.g. "speeds through the corner of two streets").

In a practical sense, it is not possible to address every location in isolation. This is not meant to belittle the concerns raised, but simply acknowledge that in most cases, poor driver behaviour cannot be blamed on the road network. The Residents Committee also acknowledged that the possible introduction of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit could offer some benefit to these other locations.

Concerns over the condition or lack of footpaths will be addressed by Council as part of its ongoing footpath management program.

The following comments are offered in regard to locations nominated by more than two or three respondents to the circular.

### 4.5.1 Frederick Road

| Form one lane sign ignored between Grange and Trimmer | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Need for line (lane) marking | 3 |
| Difficult to enter private property | 1 |
| Traffic travelling two abreast | 4 |
| Speeds | 4 |
| Traffic noise between Adare and Thompson (Council removed hedge) | 1 |
| Need for pedestrian refuge adjacent Retirement Village | 3 |
| No pedestrian facilities between Meakin and Glen Eagles | 1 |
| No pedestrian facilities near Jetty Street | 1 |
| Overuse of road by heavy vehicles/noisy brakes | 1 |
| Poor condition | 2 |
| Signs on rail pedestrian crossing limit vision of northbound traffic at Nash Street | 1 |
| Difficult to enter from side roads when peak flows | 1 |
| Traffic queues through side road junctions | 1 |

Many of the comments relate to the width of the road, need for lane marking and lack of pedestrian facilities. As Frederick Road is under the control of DTEI these matters must be addressed by the Department.

## Recommendation <br> Council discuss traffic and pedestrian concerns in Frederick Road with DTEI. <br> MODERATE PRIORITY

### 4.5.2 Derby Street

Three respondents commented on the increase in traffic volumes associated with football traffic, and over speeds / safety through the junctions of Beach Street and Westmoreland Avenue.

While traffic volumes are not available for this Derby Street, it is not clear how traffic would be influenced by events at AAMI stadium, as the road does not form an obvious cut-through for traffic.

Speeds through the junction of Derby Street and Beach Street should be addressed by the proposed upgrading of Beach Street.

## Recommendation <br> That traffic volumes in Derby Street coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium be monitored to quantify the volume of traffic using the road.

LOW PRIORITY

### 4.5.3 Seaview Road

| Cars parked on west side impede traffic flow between Jetty Street and Terminus Street | 4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| High number of cyclists but no bike lanes between Grange Road and Burbridge Road | 1 |
| Need to upgrade vegetation in verges between Terminus Street to Fort Street (to match other area) | 1 |
| Right turn into Grange Hotel Car Park (south of Jetty St) - potential for rear end | 1 |
| Dividing line is a joke | 1 |
| Consider under grounding power lines | 1 |
| Dangerous for cyclists | 1 |
| Parking arrangements associated with Star of Sea school | 1 |
| Tight when cars are parked on both sides of the road | 1 |
| Not enough off street parking at \#447 and 447a | 1 |

Recent changes along Seaview Road have sought to restrict the carriageway width, reduce traffic volumes, reduce travel speeds and reinforce the lower function of the road. Some compromises have therefore been required between the availability of parking, road width and availability of a dedicated space for cyclists.

Road widening or the prohibition of parking on one side of the road to ease traffic flow, will potentially increase traffic speeds on the road and reduce the effectiveness of the treatment in lowering the functionality of the road.

With regard to the need for cycle lanes, national standards generally only require a dedicated cycle lane on roads with traffic volumes in excess of $3,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ (which is not the case in Seaview Road).

## Recommendation

As other treatments are proposed in this general area (as part of the Grange Jetty Precinct Plan), Council continue to monitor traffic conditions in Seaview Road.

LOW PRIORITY

### 4.5.4 Sylvan Way

Concerns were expressed over truck noise and the safety of pedestrians due to trucks using the road, and general concern over vehicle speeds. Similarly, several responses commented on the likely increase in traffic on Sylvan Way as the aged care precinct is developed.

Existing speeds (near Fort Street) are not considered unduly excessive. Traffic volumes reflect the collector status of the road. Unfortunately truck traffic is inevitable in the road as it provides the primary connection into the developing area.

Numerous responses cited concern over the lack of an alternative access from the new area onto Trimmer Parade. However, it is understood that the development application for this area has already been approved by Council and that it is no longer practicable to establish another access to/from the precinct.

Under these circumstances, it is inevitable that traffic volumes in Sylvan Way will increase as the area is developed and populated. It is important that these increases be monitored, particularly in regard to the future function of Fort Street, and the junction of Fort Street and Trimmer Parade.

## Recommendation

Traffic volumes and speeds in Sylvan Way be monitored.

## LOW PRIORITY

### 4.5.5 Adare Court / Clayton Drive

It was reported that the drivers cut the corner through the junction of Adare Court and Clayton Drive, despite the installation of yellow pavement bars (rumble strips) through the junction. The close proximity of Adare Court / Clayton Drive to Frederick Road was also reported as a concern.

While the junction is slightly skewed the T-junction rule should be reasonably obvious, with drivers approaching from the east (Frederick Road) required to give way. Notwithstanding, the angle and width of the left turn into Adare Court is reasonably generous and may encourage higher speed left turns, and similarly higher speed right turns from the southern leg of Adare Court.

## Recommendation

Definition of the Adare Court and Clayton Drive junction and give way requirement be improved through line marking as shown in the following sketch.

Mark Give Way line and chevron markings


## 5. Community Feedback

The following section outlines the draft recommendations issued for community review together with the results of the community feedback.

There were 95 responses to the community survey. Not everybody answered every question, although at least 64 responses were obtained for individual recommendations. The following sections summarise the response rate for each question / recommendation.

Where appropriate, responses are offered to comments made by the community, and the draft recommendations have been amended.

### 5.1 Road Hierarchy - Basis of Recommendations

## Draft Recommendation

Council accept the practical road hierarchy shown below as the basis for developing short term traffic management options for the Study Area.
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Level of Community Support<br>Number of responses to this question 73/95<br>Supportive 85\%<br>Against 15\%

## Discussion

There was general acceptance of the proposed road hierarchy. Issues raised included the function of Charles Sturt Avenue and Fort Street. There was general opposition to the suggested long term closure of Charles Street (discussed below).

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

### 5.2 Military Road

## Draft Recommendation

Council approach the Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI) requesting the development of an overall corridor management plan for Military Road. As part of this investigation, plans be developed for the intersections of Jetty Street, Beach Street and Military Road.

## Level of Community Support <br> Number of responses to this question 85 / 95 <br> Supportive 94\% <br> Against 6\%

## Discussion

There was strong support for the recommendation. We maintain that developing an overarching corridor management plan for Military Road is one of the more important recommendations of this report. It is essential that the capacity and safety issues associated with Military Road be addressed before detailed consideration is given to traffic management treatments within the local road network. The community reiterated the need to upgrade the Jetty Street intersection - possibly with the installation of traffic signals.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

Community Feedback

### 5.3 Fort Street - Parking Restriction

## Draft Recommendation

Consider the installation of a No Stopping zone along the western side of Fort Street between Trimmer Parade and the river.

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 83 / 95
Supportive 89\%
Against 11\%

## Discussion

The narrow width of Fort Street was identified as a significant concern in the initial community feedback. The road is serving a collector function and is a bus route. Parking is already restricted on one side of the road during events at AAMI stadium.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

### 5.4 Grange Road / Beach Street

## Draft Recommendation

Council initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to upgrading this intersection of Grange Road and Beach Street, to complement the proposed median strip concept plan for Beach Street.

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 85 / 95
Supportive $89 \%$
Against 11\%

## Discussion

Since the initiation of this Grange LATM project, Council has concurrently progressed plans for the installation of a median strip along Beach Street. The need to upgrade this intersection remains important to address the fundamental road safety concerns with existing conditions and to complement the other programmed works along Beach Street to discourage speeds and unnecessary through traffic.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

### 5.5 Charles Sturt Avenue

## Draft Recommendations

Consideration be given to the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between railway line and Fort Street.

Council mark parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.

## Level of Community Support

Prohibit Parking on One Side
Number of responses to this question $83 / 95$
Supportive 67\%
Against 33\%
Mark Parking Lanes
Number of responses to this question 85 / 95
Supportive 80\%
Against 20\%

## Discussion

While the marking of parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue was supported by $80 \%$ of responses, prohibiting parking along one side of the narrow sections of the road was only supported by $67 \%$.

The suggestion to prohibit parking on one side of the narrow sections of the road arose from concerns over passing oncoming traffic and squeezing past parked cars. Some residents suggested Council permit verge parking in these areas given the wide verge areas.

The provision of parking restrictions is likely to be opposed by the majority of residents that live along Charles Sturt Avenue.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Council mark parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.

Council consider the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between railway line and Fort Street, through discussion with the affected residents. Consideration could be given to a part time parking restriction to cover "peak times".

Community Feedback

### 5.6 Fort Street - Speed Cushions

## Draft Recommendations

Consideration be given to the installation of speed cushions along the length of Fort Street as a way of discouraging some unnecessary through traffic and reducing speeds.
Level of Community Support
Number of responses to this question 85 / 95
Supportive 41\%
Against 59\%

## Discussion

While speeding along Fort Street was identified as a significant concern by the community, the installation of speed cushions was opposed by $59 \%$ of responses. The installation of other traffic control measures to control speeds along this street is difficult as the road is a bus route. The proposed banning of parking along one side of the street (refer section 5.3) may result in an increase in average speeds unless other traffic control measures are implemented.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

A traffic and parking plan be developed in consultation with stakeholders to address ongoing safety concerns in Fort Street, taking into account other recommendations within this report.

### 5.7 Grange Road

## Draft Recommendations <br> Council approach DTEI requesting the development of a corridor management plan for Grange Road. <br> Level of Community Support <br> Number of responses to this question 74 / 95 <br> Supportive 84\% <br> Against 16\% <br> Discussion <br> Grange Road is largely the responsibility of DTEI and Council has no control on the existing traffic arrangements. Notwithstanding, there is scope to rationalise the road space through the provision of a marked parking lane and narrowing the traffic lanes.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

## $5.840 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ Precinct Speed Limit

## Draft Recommendations

Council undertake the further community consultation to determine whether there is sufficient support for the installation of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limits.

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 86 / 95
Supportive 41\%
Against 59\%

## Discussion

The installation of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limits must be supported by at least two thirds of the community. However, only $41 \%$ of responses supported further consideration be given to the lower speed limit, albeit that the response rate to the survey may not be representative of the whole community.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Further consideration be given to specific consultation concerning the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit for the Grange LATM.

### 5.9 Adare Court / Clayton Drive

## Draft Recommendations

Council install additional line marking at the junction of Adare Court and Clayton
Drive to improve definition of the junction give way requirement.
Level of Community Support
Number of responses to this question 64 / 95
Supportive 94\%
Against 6\%

## Discussion

This treatment will better define turning movements and give way obligations at the junction, and was supported by $94 \%$ of responses.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

### 5.10 Charles Sturt Avenue - Long Term Closure

## Draft Recommendations

In the longer term, together with any improvements made along Military Road, consideration could be given to a full closure of Charles Sturt Avenue over the railway crossing.

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 84 / 95
Supportive 15\%
Against 85\%

## Discussion

The possible closure of Charles Sturt Avenue was put forward as a potential long term option - subject to the upgrading of Military Road in the first instance. The suggestion was strongly opposed by $85 \%$ of responses.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Council not consider the closure of Charles Sturt Avenue at this time.

### 5.11 Derby Street

## Draft Recommendations

Council monitor traffic volumes in Derby Street coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium to quantify the volume of traffic using the road.

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 66/95
Supportive $76 \%$
Against 24\%

## Discussion

There remains some uncertainty over the extent of any problems associated with Derby Street. Some residents sought immediate action rather than the monitoring of conditions.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Council undertake detailed traffic volume and speeds surveys in Derby Street, coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium to quantify the volume of traffic using the road, and further consideration be given to the need for remedial treatment subject to this data.

Community Feedback

### 5.12 Seaview Road

## Draft Recommendations

Council continue to monitor traffic conditions in Seaview Road as part of other changes in the area (associated with the Grange Jetty Precinct).

## Level of Community Support <br> Number of responses to this question 76 / 95

Supportive 88\%
Against 12\%

## Discussion

Support for this recommendation reflects a general acceptance by the community over the function of Seaview Road, relatively to Military Road.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

### 5.13 SyIvan Way

## Draft Recommendations

Council continue to monitor traffic volumes and speeds in Sylvan Way

## Level of Community Support

Number of responses to this question 68 / 95
Supportive 84\%
Against 16\%

Discussion
As traffic volumes in Sylvan Way will almost certainly increase as the aged care precinct is developed, it is important that Council closely monitor traffic volumes and speeds along the road, and that remedial measures are implemented if needed.

## Suggested Amendment to Recommendation

Retain the recommendation as circulated to the community.

Other Issues - Discussion and Recommendations

## 6. Summary of Recommendations

## BASIS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The practical road hierarchy shown below be adopted as the basis for developing short term traffic management options for the Study Area.


## HIGH PRIORITY

2. Council approach DTEI requesting the development of an overall corridor management plan for Military Road, between Terminus Street and Grange Road. As part of this investigation, plans be developed for the intersections of Jetty Street, Beach Street and Military Road.
3. Council consider the installation of a No Stopping zone along the western side of Fort Street between Trimmer Parade and the river. Refer also to Recommendation 8).
4. Council initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to upgrading the intersection of Grange Road and Beach Street, to complement the proposed median strip concept plan for Beach Street.
5. Council discuss the intersection of Trimmer Parade and Sportsman Drive with DTEI.
fnginffrimé
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## MODERATE PRIORITY

6. Council mark parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.
7. Council consider the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between railway line and Fort Street, through discussion with the affected residents. Consideration could be given to a part time parking restriction to cover "peak times".
8. A traffic and parking plan be developed for Fort Street in consultation with stakeholders to address ongoing safety concerns, taking into account other recommendations within this report.
9. Council approach DTEI requesting the development of a corridor management plan for Grange Road between Frederick Road and Seaview Road.
10. Community concerns over Frederick Road be referred to DTEI for investigation.
11. Council install additional line marking and signage at the junction of Adare Court and Clayton Drive to improve definition of the junction give way requirement.

## LOW PRIORITY

12. In the longer term, and subject to improved capacity and safety along Military Road, consideration be given to adopting an alternative road hierarchy for the area.
13. Further consideration be given to specific consultation concerning the installation of a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limit for the Grange LATM.
14. Council continue to monitor traffic conditions in Seaview Road as part of other changes in the area (associated with the Grange Jetty Precinct).
15. Council continue to monitor traffic volumes and speeds in Sylvan Way.
16. That traffic volumes in Derby Street coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium be monitored to quantify the volume of traffic using the road.
17. Traffic conditions in Willcocks Avenue be monitored to identify any changes as early as possible.

## Appendix A

## Community Circulars

## Local Area Traffic Management Review GRANGE

Council is reviewing traffic and road safety in the Grange area bounded by:

- Trimmer Parade
- Tapleys Hill Road
- Grange Road
- The foreshore / beach

A Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) Plan will be developed to address a range of factors including management of the road network for all users including, pedestrians, cyclists, public and community transport, commercial transport, and private vehicles. The LATM plan has to balance the needs of the community that live in the area with the transport uses of the roads within the precinct. Sometimes this is not easy as legitimate traffic movements can affect the residential amenity and safety within the area.

Council has engaged Tonkin Consulting to develop the LATM Plan in consultation with the community. The first stage of the process will be to identify the existing traffic and road safety issues within the precinct. While Tonkin Consulting will examine traffic data and crash records, and undertake their own independent review of the road network, they would also value your comments regarding these matters.

Your comments can be provided by returning the attached response form in the enclosed reply paid envelope.

Once all data has been collected and comments have been received, Tonkin Consulting will develop options for road engineering measures to address the problems. These options will be discussed and refined through liaison with Council and a Grange Resident Committee (see the enclosed attachment for further details). A draft LATM plan will subsequently be prepared for broader consultation with all residents and businesses in Grange.

Ultimately, Council requires a concise LATM plan that gives a priority for the Grange precinct that:

- identifies existing and future traffic related problems
- determines if road engineering solutions are warranted, and if so,
- the most appropriate and acceptable solutions to the community.
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## Residents Committee <br> Community Representative Selection Process

Development of the Local Area Traffic Management Plan will be assisted by a Residents' Committee, made up of 4-6 community representatives, Ward Councillors, Council's technical staff and Tonkin Consulting.

The role of the Committee will be to:

- clarify and confirm the current and future traffic and road safety concerns in the area
- assist Tonkin Consulting in preparing the draft LATM by considering and selecting the most appropriate road engineering treatments.

The Committee will convene as required, depending on the availability of the members. At this stage, only 2-3 meetings are expected as follows:

- confirmation of the issues and concerns identified by the community and data analysis
- consider options and priorities for road engineering treatments
- review draft LATM plan before wider community consultation.

If you are interested in representing the community on this Committee, we invite you to submit a written application. Your registration of interest should include a brief background of yourself, your interest in road safety and traffic management in the area, and your availability for meetings either during working hours or after hours. The Residents' Committee member selection will be based on the above criteria to ensure a cross section of residents are represented (eg. not every one living in the same street).

Please submit your registration of interest in representing the community on the Grange Residents' Committee to:

## Mr Paul Simons

c/- Tonkin Consulting

## Registrations of interest can also be inserted in the enclosed reply paid envelope.

For further information contact Paul Simons on 82733100.

## Grange LATM

## Community Survey

Name: $\qquad$ Address: $\qquad$

Please identify any traffic and road safety concerns you have in the area.
$\square$ Speed of vehicles $\square$ Parking Arrangements $\square$ Bicycle Facilities $\square$ Footpaths $\square$ Road Lighting $\square$ Road Safety $\square$ Other
$\square$ Traffic Noise $\quad \square$ Traffic Volumes $\quad \square$ Street Environment $\square$ Property $\square$ Activities associated with land use
Locations and Issues:

Locations and Issues:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## What solutions or opportunities do you think could be considered to resolve the issues you have identified?

Solutions/Opportunities
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for taking the time to complete the questionnaire. Please return it in the reply paid envelope marked to:
City of Charles Sturt, PO Box 1, Woodville SA 5011.

## PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE BY 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ AUGUST 2006

Tonkin
Enginetring

# GRANGE AND SEATON (WEST) LOCAL AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (LATM) PLAN 

## Dear Sir / Madam

Our records show that in October last year you responded to a request for comments about traffic issues in your local area. Since that time we have prepared a draft traffic management for the area through consultation with an informal resident's committee.

Council has recently endorsed the draft report for community consultation, and we have enclosed a summary of the recommendations for your information and consideration. We have also enclosed a response form for you to complete.

Full copies of the draft LATM report are available to read at the Council offices at 72 Woodville Road, Woodville, Council libraries and on the Council's website at www.charlessturt.sa.gov.au. The response form is also available from the website.

I invite you to review the recommendations for traffic improvements in Grange and Seaton (West) and send your response to:

GRANGE and SEATON (WEST) LATM Consultation Feedback,
PO Box 1
WOODVILLE SA 5011
Or e-mail to charlessturt@sa.gov.au

## Consultation closes on Thursday 31 May 2007.

The Plan will help the Council to make traffic planning decisions and will improve the amenity of the local area. The traffic control devices recommended in the Plan will be constructed over the next few years as funds are made available.

Thank you for your interest.


Paul Simons
Project Manager
On Behalf of City of Charles Sturt
fnginerin

STURT

## GRANGE AND SEATON WEST LATM SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

## BASIS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Council accept the practical road hierarchy shown below as the basis for developing short term traffic management options for the Study Area.


## HIGH PRIORITY

2. Council approach the Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI) requesting the development of an overall corridor management plan for Military Road. As part of this investigation, plans be developed for the intersections of Jetty Street, Beach Street and Military Road.
3. Consider the installation of a No Stopping zone along the western side of Fort Street between Trimmer Parade and the river.
4. Council initiate discussions with DTEI with regard to upgrading this intersection of Grange Road and Beach Street, to complement the proposed median strip concept plan for Beach Street.

## MODERATE PRIORITY

5. Consideration be given to the prohibition of parking on one side of Charles Sturt Avenue between Beach Street and Jetty Street, and similarly between railway line and Fort Street.
6. Council mark parking lanes along the wider sections of Charles Sturt Avenue between Grange Road and Beach Street, and between Jetty Street and the railway line, to better define traffic and parking lanes.
7. Consideration be given to the installation of speed cushions along the length of Fort Street as a way of discouraging some unnecessary through traffic and reducing speeds.
8. Council approach DTEI requesting the development of a corridor management plan for Grange Road.
9. Council undertake the further community consultation to determine whether there is sufficient support for the installation of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ precinct speed limits.
10. Council install additional line marking at the junction of Adare Court and Clayton Drive to improve definition of the junction give way requirement.

## LOW PRIORITY

11. In the longer term, together with any improvements made along Military Road, consideration could be given to a full closure of Charles Sturt Avenue over the railway crossing.
12. Council monitor traffic volumes in Derby Street coinciding with a major event at AAMI stadium to quantify the volume of traffic using the road.
13. Council continue to monitor traffic conditions in Seaview Road as part of other changes in the area (associated with the Grange Jetty Precinct).
14. Council continue to monitor traffic volumes and speeds in Sylvan Way


## Appendix B

## Traffic Data



[^0]Appendix C

## Appendix C

## Collision Data
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Appendix D

## Appendix D

## Community Responses

## ROAD SECTIONS

## Adare Crt

Close proximity of Clayton Dve and Frederick Road jncs. Adare traffic corner cutting over pavement bars

## Alexandra Ave

Curvey street - cars parked - must cross centre line

## 38 Beach Street

Traffic volumes between Grange Road and Military Road

## High speeds

(particularly between Grange Road and Surrey Street)
Need to improve pedestrian safety by Primary School
Parking is a hazard on bend
School bus can't negotiate roundabouts (damage to kerbs) Parking in wrong direction and on verges
Crossing road can be difficult

## Clarice Avenue

Speeds
26 Charles Sturt Avenue
Traffic volumes and speeds
(usually with reference to Footy traffic)
Speeding over railway crossing
Narrow width / parking / wide verges could have parking Don't restrict traffic on Charles Sturt Ave that might increase volumes in Military Road
Excessive speeds through childrens crossing
lllegal parking near crossing and a/h adjacent \#4 Community centre
Lack of parking near Kindergarten
4 Derby Street
3 Increase in traffic volumes (footy traffic and to Jetty Street) Refer to Derby / Beach Street and Derby / Westmoreland

## 3 Elaine Ave

Speeds and rat running
Parked cars adjacent flats (also refer Elaine / Milvera) x
Pedestrians use road rather than footpath

## Esplanade <br> Speed of bicycles <br> Speeds

## Fairway Drive

Speeds
x x
$\begin{array}{lll}x & x \\ x\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x\end{array}$
$x \quad x \quad x$

x
x
x
x
x x x x x x x x x
x
$x$
x

| x |
| :--- |
| x |

$x$
$x$
Traffic volumes

Axle weight of buses to heavy for road
Curve and bus stops compound limited width
Pedestrian crossing needed
$50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ too fast - should be $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (speed concern)
Mail box - not cut away for parking - change of location
Too narrow / hazardous for cyclists
Traffic at night enter/leave Grange Ova
Speeds in laneway
$\square$
x
$\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & \\ x & x\end{array}$
$x \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}$ x
$\begin{array}{ll}x \\ x & x\end{array}$
$x \times x \times x \times x$
$x \quad x$
$x \quad x$

## Frederick Road

Form one lane sign ignored between Grange and Trimmer Need for line (lane) marking
Difficult to enter private property
Traffic travelling two abreast
Speeds
Traffic noise between Adare and Thompson (Council removed hedge)
Need for pedestrian refuge adj Cansfield
No pedestrian facilities adj retirement (same as Canfield?)
No ped facilities between Meakin and Glen Eagles
No ped facilities near Jetty Street
Overuse of road by heavy vehicles/noisy brakes Poor condition
Signs on rail pedestrian crossing limit vision of northbound traffic at Nash Street
Difficult to enter from side roads when peak flows $x$
Traffic queues through side road junctions

## Grange Road

Concern over $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ limit at western end (ie too low) Diffcult to cross road at western end (with bikes)
Speeds at west end (disregard of 50)
Speeds between Cudmore and Frederick
Speeds through 'gully' between Military Road and Wright St Street scaping to change road environment
Insufficient room for buses (cars drive around)
Speed near Tapleys Hill Road

High Street
Allow verge parking to ease traffic flow
Difficult property access (no 17) due to parked cars
Parking / congestion
Football traffic
Speeds

| x | x | x |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x |  |  |

$x$
$x \quad x \quad x \quad x$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}$
x
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x} & \\ \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}$
x
x x
x
x
x
x
x x x
$x$ x x
x
x
x x
$x \quad x$
x
x

```
Jetty Street
Speeds at eastern end
Speeds at jnc with Kentdale St
Poor road lighting (east end) - No 77 x x x
Need for bike lanes
Poor condition between Searange (Kentdale) and Frederick
Kentdale Street 
Kirkcadly Ave
Speeds
```


## Lambros Avenue

```
Speeds
Lines Street
Speeds
```

```
Meakin Terrace
Speeds
```


## 32 Military Road

```
Traffic volumes and noise between Terminus and Grange
Relationship to Seaview Road (equity)
Runnelling effect from 2 into 1 lane and 60 to \(50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}\)
Crossing road can be difficult due to footy traffic
Bumper to bumper traffic when football - too dangerous to ride bike
Eye sore between Terminus Street and Beach Street
Difficult to cross road
Speeds
Rear end collisions as units use road as second car park
Hazardous parking and compounds narrowing and traffic flows Unsafe for cyclists
Speed through roundabouts
\(\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & x\end{array}\)
Napier Street
Speeds entering from Beach Street
```



```
\(x\)
\(x\)
\(x\)
```

$\qquad$
Nash Street
Speeds
$x \quad x \quad x$
Old Post Office Place
Speeds
x
Parkview
Speeds
Speeds

```

\section*{Rapson Street \\ Volumes and speeds}
```

Parking congestion near units

```

Cars parked on west side impede traffic flow between Jetty
High number of cyclists but no bike lanes between Grange
1 Road and Burbridge Road
\(x \quad x \quad x\)

Noad to Burbridge Road
to Fo to upgrade vegetation in verg) between Termus to Fort Street (to match other area)
Why is road only \(40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}\) limit in whole area ?
Right turn into Grange Hotel Car Park (south of Jetty St) -
potential for rear end
Consider under grounding power lines
Consider under ground
Dangerous for cyclists
Dangerous for cyclists
Parking arrangements associated with Star of Sea school
Tight when cars are parked on both sides of the road
Not enough off street parking at \#447 and 447a

\section*{Shandon Parade}

Drivers ignore speed humps and still exceed 50 x

\section*{1 Sturt Stree}

Speeds
x
1 Sturt Close
Drivers don't use parking area and park in other less convenient areas

\section*{Swan Street}

Excessive isolated speeds
Parking / congestions
X
x

\section*{Sylvan Way}

Speeds
\(x \quad x\)
Truck noise
\begin{tabular}{ll}
x & x \\
x & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Trucks / safety of pedestrians \(\quad \mathrm{x}\)
Terminus Street
Parked cars on south side between Seaview Road and Military
2 Road 2 hour park restrictions between Military Road and Charles
1 Sturt Avenue should be removed to facilitate use of public
\(x \quad x\)

5 Trimmer Parade
2 Speeding between Frederick Road and Military Road
2 block (near Lines Street) \(\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { x } \\ & \text { Parked cars near Fort limit width of kerbside lane }\end{aligned}\)
2 Westmoreland Road
Speeds
x x

\section*{3 Wilson Court}

Local arrangements for residential parking
Parking congestion on bowls days
x
x
Parking near Grange Road (congestion)
x
x

\section*{10 Willcocks Avenue}

6 Speeds (since opening of road in mid 1990's)
4 Traffic volumes/shortcuts

\section*{INTERSECTIONS}

No.
Remarks 1 Adare Court / Grady Way
Speeds through corner - loss of control collisions

2 Beach Street / Derby Street
1 Collisions involving loss of control
1 Limited sight lines due to curve
x
x

6 Beach Street / Grange Road / Mitton Ave angle of road
5 Dangerous intersection
Beach Street / Mathew Street
Concern over U-turners

Landscaping in roundabout obscures visibility
1 Speed through roundabout
Northern side of roundabout does not have pedestrian refuge difficult crossing when footy traffic
Drivers in Military don't look for traffic in Beach
Beach Street / Surrey Stree
Landscaping in roundabout obscures visibility
Beach Street / Westmoreland Road Recent collisions involving loss of control
Dangerous intersection
Parking around intersection
Speeds entering Westmoreland
1 Derby Street / Westmoreland Road
Two collisions in recent monthts
```

x x x x x

```
x
x
x
x
x
x
\(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} \\ \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}\)
x
x
x

Elaine Ave / Milvera Street
1 Speeds through junction and along Elaine Ave
Esplanade / Terminus Stree
1 Speeds through corner
4 Fort Street / Charles Sturt
1 Broken kerb as radii to tigh
Cars encroach into Fort causing traffic to swerve
2 Need Stop sign as drivers do not give way
\(x\)
\(x\)
\(x\)

5 Fort Street / Sylvan Way
1 Unclear concern - paint white lines to define junction
2 Parking of community bus in Sylvan Way
Junction is a nightmare
1 Traffic volumes increasing due to Grange View Estate

\section*{1 Frederick Road / Nash Street}

Potential delays due to increasing volumes in Frederick and bump in Nash Street

Frederick Road / Sinclair Stree
Sight distance limited by parked cars and trees

Need traffic signals due to volume of traffic in Cudmore

Grange Road / Frederick Road
Signal phasing provides other traffic priority over Grange Road citybound

Grange Road / High Street
Limited sight distance of traffic entering from High Stree
Grange Road / Pierce Street
High number of U-turns due to (new) median in Grange Rd
Jetty Street / Charles Sturt Ave
Number of collisions / need to slow traffic

People park in turning point for kiosk car park and block access for others

\section*{4 Jetty Street / Frederick Road}

1 Excessive speeds by traffic entering Jetty Street
3 Difficult access in/out of Jetty when peak flows
\(x \quad x \quad x\)
1 Jetty Street / High Street
1 Drainage channels are very rough
x

\section*{36 Jetty Street / Military Road}

7 Difficult crossing westbound due to sight restrictions to north
14 Difficult crossing Military Road (pedestrians and cars)
3 Difficult crossing due to football traffic
1 Intersection dangerous for pedestrians
Need roundabout or simila
N
Schools cross Military Road at this location / dangerous
1 Jetty Street / Surrey Street
1 Bike riding to school (need bike lanes)
```

x x x x x
x x x x y x x x x x x x x
x x x
x x x x x x
x
x x

```

\section*{1 McMurray Ave / Shandon Ave}

1 Parked trucks restrict access and limit sight distances
1 Middleton Drive / Clarice Avenue
Burnouts around corner - safety bars useless deterrent
1 Speeds through corner (particularly when wet)
1 Middleton Drive / Jessie Avenue
1 Speeds through corner (particularly when wet)

1 Military Road / Connaught Street
1 Brick wall and creeper limits sight lines
1 Military Road / Grange Road
Concern over pedestrians crossing Military Road as left turners
1 from Grange Road are looking to the right
x
Hazardous concrete barrier on SE corner

Miltary Road / Trimmer Parad
Difficult right turn from Trimmer Pde x x
3 Nash Street / Gluyas Ave

1 Speeds through corner and corner cutting
Cars parked near corner
x
x
x

Cars parked between driveway block access x
1 Seaview Road / Terminus Street
1 Speeds - need for roundabout
x
5 Trimmer Parade / Fort Stree
2 Vehicle speeds
Not easy to enter Trimmer Parade (need traffic signals)
Limited sight distances when cars park in Trimmer (footbal 2 parking)
\(x \quad \mathrm{x}\)
\(x \quad \mathrm{x}\)

\section*{11 Trimmer Parade / Frederick Road}

10 Need right turn arrows
Vision impaired westbound left turn into Frederick (position of
1 stop line)
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x\end{array}\)
x
1 Trimmer Parade / Greenview Road
1 Keep gap in median
x
3 Trimmer Parade / Lines Street
3 Need median access into Lines
\(x \quad x \quad x\)
4 Trimmer Parade / Sportsman Drive
3 Need roundabout
\(x \quad x \quad x\)
1 Need for pedestrian crossing over Trimmer Pde opposite shops \(\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x\end{aligned}\)
1 Westmoreland Road / Yorkshire St
1 Corner cutting
x
2 Wilson Crt / Swan Street
2 One lane-one way is disregarded by many drivers

\section*{GENERAL}

No.
18 Hoon Driving Behaviour (burnouts etc)
18 General concerns

Grange View Estate / Precinct Accessibiilty
6 Limited access to/from Fort Street via Sylvan Way
Need for another access of Trimmer Parade to reduce pressure
7 on Fort Street
1 Alternative access via Nash Street and Frederick Road \(\quad \mathrm{x}\)

\section*{3 AAMI Stadium Traffic}

3 AAMI Stadium Traffic
3 Traffic flows and parking congestion in area
x
x

\section*{29 Other}

Concern over potential increase in volumes when PREX 1 completed
Steep driveway at 296 Military Road
1 Upgrade playground
1 Need bus shelters on Trimmer Parade (\#31)
1 Street scaping on footpaths
Bus pull-in areas
Tapleys Hill Road and Frederick Road
Creek is dangerous (near Fort St
Exit from Fulham Gardens Shopping Centre onto Valetta Road Tapleys Hill Road / Trimmer Pde - signal phasing after rail
Tapleys Hill Road / Trimmer Pde - need 2 westbound lanes
Overhanging bushes /advertising signs on footpath
Safety associated with rear lanes
Footpaths along drain (Trimmer to Grange) and for pedestrians
not shared used with cyclists
Gate across creek/drain path is closed creating difficulty for
1 wheelchair and bike access
Trees shrubs on \(x\)
Gres shrubs on Trimmer Pde (near golf) overhang into lanes (near Michael Street)
High Street - flooding
1 Overhanging vegetation \#318 Military Road onto footpath
1 Need to seal lanes surrounding Swan and Charles Sturt
Disregard of Disabled Parks in West Lakes
Too many inappropriate housing developments in area
Path from East Grange Rail Station onto Sunset Cres - stee
1 path and no visible barrier at end (onto road ?)
path and no visible barrier at end (onto road ?)
Grange View Retirement Estate - ped gates prevent access
2 Vegetation in Military Road roundabouts too hig
Why can't 40 be used throughout Grange
Industrial facility (Sugars) in residential area

\section*{4 Parking at Railway Station/s}

Lack of parking (impact on Terminus St and Charles Sturt) 1 Drivers frequently disregard 2 hour limit

Lack of parking near Grange East Station (use of Brogan Crt)
\(x\)
\(x\)
\(x\)
\(x\)
```x
```

x $x$ x $x$
$\begin{array}{llllll}x & x & x & x & x\end{array}$

18 Lighting
1 Only one light at corner of Jetty Street and the Esplanade 1 Frederick Road / Gleneagles Court
Grange Road / Wilson Crt
3 Fort Street
2 Frederick Road (Grange to Trimmer)
1 Derby Street / Beach Street
1 Charles Sturt Avenue railway crossing
2 Swan Street
1 Frederick Road / Jetty St
1 Sharpie Cres near train laneway
Wilson Court
Dora Avenu
1 Iris Court
37 Footpaths
3 High Street between Beach St and Jetty St
1 Frederick Road either side of Middleton Drive $\quad x$
2 Tandanya Street has no footpath (to/from Grange School) 1 Charlotte Street
1 Day Street
1 Fort Street between Military Road and Seaview Road
1 Fort Street \#11
42 Sunset Cres
1 Westmoreland Road
(south side between Grange and Tapleys Hill)
1 Connaught Street
Newton Street
1 Beach Street (north side between Westmoreland and Derby)
Rapson Street (eastern side)
1 Need more sensible footpath around Silver Crescent
1 Baker Street (no formed footpaths and uneven unkept verges) Military Road near bus stop \#30a
Military Road (west side) Beach Street - Jetty Road
Chares Sur Avenu
Swan Street
Swan Street
Trimmer Parade (south side) Military Road to Grange oval Council should use alternative colour to red pavers which get 1 hot in summer
1 Esplanade between \#471-473
Jetty Street (south side) Surrey to High St
1 Terminus Street (Charles Sturt to Military Road)
cnr of Rapson and Nash needs to be fixed following repairs 1 Wilson Court
Frederick Road \#211
1 Silver Crt
1 Seabreeze S
1 Yorkshire St
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x} & \\ \mathrm{x} & \\ \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}$
x
x
x
x
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x} & \\ \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}$
$x$
$x$
$x$
x
x
x
x
x
x
x

| x |
| :--- |
| $\times$ |

x $x$
$x \quad \mathrm{x}$
x
x
x
x

10 School Traffic
1 People park for long periods
Irresponsible and illegal parking
1 Dangerous to ride bike to school - no bikeways
2 Congestion on Jetty Street near primary school
Poor position of traffic lights
Insufficient drop off area (Jetty and Surrey St
1 Roundabout inappropriate
Suggested use of car park in Charles Sturt House for school 1 pick-up and drop-off
1 Pedestrian crossing too close to Jetty St

```
x
```

1 Rat Running
Beach St-Derby St-lan St-Westmoreland-Tandanya-Charlotte-
1 Jetty St

5 Need for increased cycling facilities throughout area
1 Bicycle parking along foreshore
Path on the Esplanade (beach side) is not adequate width for
No bike tracks so cyclists use footpaths - hazard near
Retirement Home

Consider car free bike tracks in local streets

Appendix E

## Appendix E

## Beach Street Preferred Concept
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Appendix F

## Appendix F

## Grange Road Concept Plan
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